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## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this technical appendix is to share more detailed results and to describe more fully the sample and methods used in the research included in the brief, The widening achievement divide during COVID-19 (Lewis et al., 2022). We investigated two main research questions in this brief:

1) To what degree have students' reading and math test scores become more variable as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic?
2) How do achievement gains across the pandemic compare to pre-pandemic trends for students who were low- or high-achieving at the start of the pandemic?

## 2. Data

## Sample

The data for this study are from the NWEA anonymized longitudinal student achievement database. We used two separate samples in this study. In our first (cross-sectional) analyses, we compared the spring 2022 test scores for 4.5 million students in grades $3-8$ who took MAP® Growth ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ to the test scores of 4.9 million students in the same grades who tested in spring 2019. The sample characteristics for this first sample are described in Table 1.

In our second (longitudinal) analyses, we follow cohorts of students from the bottom and top decile of the test score distribution (determined based on the fall 2019 percentile rank) across the most recent three school years (2019-20, 2020-21, 2021-22). ${ }^{1}$ The left (dark gray) side of the table below illustrates the grades and years included in our "COVID sample" of students. The right (light gray) side shows the years included for the "pre-COVID" sample which serves as a counterfactual for the achievement gains that may have been expected if the COVID-19 pandemic had not occurred. The cohorts in the pre-COVID sample covered the same grade spans as the COVID sample, but we used fall 2016 test scores to select students who were in the bottom and top decile and then followed these students across the 2016-17 to 2018-19 school years. Descriptive information for the students in the longitudinal analyses are provided in Table 2 by cohort, subject, and pre-COVID/COVID sample.

[^0]|  | COVID Sample <br> (1 million students in 21K <br> schools) |  |  | Pre-COVID Sample <br> (1.3 million students in 21K <br> schools) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Grade | $2019-20$ | $2020-21$ | $2021-22$ | $2016-17$ | $2017-18$ | $2018-19$ |
| $1-3$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| $2-4$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| $3-5$ | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| $4-6$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| $5-7$ | 5 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| $6-8$ | 6 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 7 | 8 |

## Measure of achievement

Student test scores from NWEA® MAP® Growth ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ reading and math assessments were used in this study. School districts use NWEA MAP Growth assessments to monitor elementary and secondary students' reading and math achievement and gains, with assessments typically administered in the fall (usually between August and November), winter (usually December to March), and spring (late March through June). MAP Growth is a computer adaptive test that precisely measures achievement even for students above or below grade level. The assessment is vertically scaled to allow for the estimation of gains across time and is aligned to state content standards. Test scores are reported on the RIT (Rasch unIT) scale, which is a linear transformation of the logit scale units from the Rasch item response theory model.

## 3. Methods

RQ1: To what degree have students' reading and math test scores become more variable as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic?

To examine how test score variability changed during the pandemic, we calculated the mean and standard deviation (SD) of MAP Growth test scores for each grade level and subject in spring 2019 (for the pre-COVID sample) and spring 2022 (for the COVID sample). These estimates are presented in Table 3. Table 4 reports the ratio of SDs in the COVID sample relative to the pre-COVID sample (ratios greater than 1 indicate an increase in variability in the COVID sample).

Although not reported in the main brief, we also examined how variability in test scores changed within and between schools. Within-school variability captures how different students in the same school are from one another. Between-school variability captures how different schools are from one another. Although both forms of variability are interesting and important, increases in within-school variability have the most implications for teachers and schools given this would indicate students within the same school have more diverse learning needs now than prior to the pandemic.

To assess changes in within-school variability, we compared within-school SDs in spring of 2019 and spring of 2022. In contrast to the overall SDs we report in Table 3 that reflect the typical distance from average in the overall distribution of students, within-school SDs reflect the typical distance from average within a school. Figure A1 shows the change in within-school variability from spring 2019 and spring 2022 for all subjects/grades. Overall, we see increased within-school variability in spring 2022 both subjects in grades $3-5$, but only in reading for grades $6-8$. Although we are not able to examine whether this increase in variability is happening at the classroom level, this pattern suggests students within a school are more different from one another now than before the pandemic.

To assess changes in the proportion of variance that is between schools, we compared intraclass correlations (ICC, the percentage of variability that is between schools) in spring of 2019 and spring of 2022. The ICC results are presented in Table 4. We observed slight increases in the percentage of variance that is between schools in grades $3-5$, with slightly larger increases in math than reading. However, in grades 6-8 there were either minimal changes or the proportion of between-school variance shrank.

Finally, we explored whether the increased variability was concentrated at the lower end of the test score distribution. To do this we estimated the RIT score corresponding to five selected percentile ranks ( $10^{\text {th }}, 25^{\text {th }}, 50^{\text {th }}, 75^{\text {th }}$, and $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile rank) based on the observed test score distribution within each term (spring 2019 and spring 2022 separately). It is important to note that these percentiles are based on the observed distribution of test takers within each grade/term/subject, which is different from the percentiles calculated in the following section based on the NWEA 2020 MAP Growth norms (Thum \& Kuhfeld, 2020). The kernel density plots in Figure A2 depict how observed percentiles have shifted over time (in one grade and subject exemplar) and show this change is primarily in the bottom half of the distribution. We also use box plots to show the shifts over time. The full set of these plots is shown in Figure A3.

## RQ2: How do achievement gains across the 2021-22 school year compare to prepandemic trends for students who were low- or high-achieving at the start of the pandemic?

To address the second research question, we classified students in our COVID sample as being in the bottom or top of the achievement distribution based on the students' fall 2019 achievement percentile ranks calculated using the NWEA 2020 MAP Growth norms (Thum \& Kuhfeld, 2020). These norms reflect pre-pandemic achievement trends as they are based on a nationally representative sample of students from the 2015-16, 2016-17, and 2017-18 school years. The NWEA 2020 MAP Growth norms were applied to each term in our data to ensure a consistent normative distribution pre- and post-pandemic. For the COVID sample, students with a percentile rank in fall 2019 less than or equal to the $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile were classified as "bottom decile", while students with a fall 2019 percentile rank greater than or equal to the $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile were classified as "top decile." ${ }^{2}$ These students were then tracked longitudinally across three school years (2019-20, 2020-21, and 2021-22). A comparable procedure was done with the preCOVID sample (with fall 2016 test scores used to classify students). Given the need to classify students based on the first timepoint of the cohort, we required that students have an observed test score in the first fall (fall 2019 for the COVID sample, fall 2016 for the pre-COVID sample).

[^1]However, we did not exclude students from the sample if they were missing test scores from other timepoints in the analysis.

Within each sample and timepoint, we calculated and plotted the average test score ( $\overline{\mathrm{RIT}}_{\text {tgsd }}$ ) in term $t$ within cohort $g(1-3,2-4,3-5,4-6,5-7,6-8)$ for sample $s$ (where $s=P C$ for the pre-COVID sample and $C$ for the COVID sample) and decile $d$ (where $d$ can equal "top" or "bottom" decile). Line plots connecting the mean RIT scores for each decile group and cohort/subject combination are shown in Figure A4. RIT score means within each term of the COVID sample (2019-20 to 2021-22 school years) are plotted in the darker color (dark orange for the top decile, dark blue for the bottom decile), while the pre-COVID reference line (light orange for the top decile, light blue for the bottom decile) displays the means of the pre-COVID sample (students in the same grade span during the 2016-17 to 2018-19 school years).

Additionally, we calculated the standardized mean difference between average test scores in a grade/term between the pre-COVID and COVID samples. We use the term "achievement gap" to describe the standardized difference between the pre-COVID sample and the COVID sample. For example, the achievement gap (as an effect size) in the final spring term ( $\mathrm{t}=$ spring 2019 for pre-COVID and $t=$ spring 2022 for the COVID sample) in grade $g$ for decile group $d$ was calculated as:

$$
E S_{t g d}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{RIT}}_{t g C d}-\overline{\mathrm{RIT}}_{t g P C d}}{\sqrt{\frac{\left(\mathrm{~N}_{t g C}-1\right) \mathrm{SD}_{t g C}^{2}+\left(\mathrm{N}_{t g P C}-1\right) \mathrm{SD}_{t g P C}^{2}}{\mathrm{~N}_{t g C}+\mathrm{N}_{t g P C}-2}}}
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{RIT}}_{t g C d}$ is the average COVID sample (spring 2022) test score for decile $d$ in grade $g$, $\overline{\mathrm{RIT}}_{t g P C d}$ is the average pre-COVID (spring 2019) test score for decile $d$ in grade $g$. In previous reports, we had standardized based on the group-specific standard deviation (SD), but given that each decile group is by definition a restricted range of the distribution, we chose instead to standardize our estimates based on the pooled SD from the overall sample in that term/grade. Specifically, $\mathrm{SD}_{t g C}$ and $\mathrm{SD}_{t g P C}$ are the SDs for grade $g$ from the overall spring 2022 and spring 2019 samples, and $\mathrm{N}_{t g C}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{t g P C}$ are the observed total sample sizes in grade $g$ in spring 2022 and 2019 respectively (see Tables 3 and 4 of the July 2022 technical appendix [Kuhfeld \& Lewis, 2022b]). The RIT score means for each decile group, along with the standardized effect sizes, are presented for each cohort/grade/term by each decile group in Table 5 for reading and Table 6 for math.

Finally, to bolster our interpretation of how patterns of gains compare across samples when examining changes in mean test scores across fall and spring test seasons, we also calculated the average raw fall-spring growth ${ }^{3}$ within the 2020-21 and 2021-22 school years using the subset of students with observed test scores in the fall and spring of each school year. The average fall-spring growth rate and SD of the growth within each year/subject/cohort/decile group are reported in Table 7. For reference, we presented the average growth rates during the

[^2]corresponding grades per cohort and decile in the pre-COVID sample (2017-18 and 2018-19 school years). The ratio of COVID average growth and pre-COVID growth is also provided.

## 4. Limitations

There are several important limitations worth noting. It is possible that students who dropped out of the sample during the 2020-21 and 2021-22 school year are systematically different from our observed students in ways that could impact our results. Further, it is possible we may have seen differential attrition at the bottom and top of the distribution, which may lead to an underestimation of the impacts of the pandemic for struggling students. Additionally, we present test score results from three terms (spring 2020, fall 2020, and spring 2021) in which a sizable proportion of students may have tested remotely. While prior research (Kuhfeld et al., 2020) has found that in-person and remote administration resulted in largely equivalent grade 3-8 test score patterns in fall 2020, we note that the trends presented in this report could be affected by shifting proportions of students testing remotely during COVID.

Table 1. Characteristics for students in cross-sectional analyses (students testing in spring 2019 or spring 2022)

| Gr. | Sample | N | Male | Female | White | Black | Hispanic | Asian | AIAN | MultiRacial | Not Specified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Pre-COVID | 693,352 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 4 | Pre-COVID | 688,839 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 5 | Pre-COVID | 696,371 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 6 | Pre-COVID | 646,498 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 7 | Pre-COVID | 592,696 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 8 | Pre-COVID | 546,687 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 3 | COVID | 690,713 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 4 | COVID | 689,555 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 5 | COVID | 697,404 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 6 | COVID | 649,248 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 7 | COVID | 593,119 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 8 | COVID | 523,311 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Pre-COVID | 564,945 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 4 | Pre-COVID | 569,115 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 5 | Pre-COVID | 574,949 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 6 | Pre-COVID | 538,938 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 7 | Pre-COVID | 533,588 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 8 | Pre-COVID | 523,919 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 3 | COVID | 563,361 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 4 | COVID | 567,643 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 5 | COVID | 575,676 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.48 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 6 | COVID | 538,275 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 7 | COVID | 529,077 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 8 | COVID | 481,290 | 0.51 | 0.49 | 0.49 | 0.16 | 0.19 | 0.04 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 |

Note. AIAN = American Indian or Alaska Native. The pre-COVID sample tested in spring 2019, while the COVID sample tested in spring 2022. As a point of comparison, the projected percentage distribution of students enrolled in public elementary and secondary schools in the 2021-22 school year was $46 \%$ White, 15\% Black, 28\% Hispanic/Latino, 6\% Asian, 1\% AIAN, and 4\% Other Race.

Table 2a. Characteristics for students in longitudinal analyses in reading

| Gr. | Sample | N | Male | Female | White | Black | Hispanic | Asian | AIAN | MultiRacial | Not Specified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bottom Decile Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Pre-COVID | 52,702 | 0.62 | 0.38 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| 4 | Pre-COVID | 84,024 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 5 | Pre-COVID | 91,468 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.35 | 0.24 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 6 | Pre-COVID | 80,034 | 0.62 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| 7 | Pre-COVID | 78,342 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 8 | Pre-COVID | 73,967 | 0.64 | 0.36 | 0.32 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 3 | COVID | 58,890 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 4 | COVID | 89,391 | 0.59 | 0.41 | 0.33 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 5 | COVID | 101,117 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 6 | COVID | 89,304 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| 7 | COVID | 90,363 | 0.62 | 0.38 | 0.30 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| 8 | COVID | 89,631 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 0.31 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| Top Decile Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Pre-COVID | 68,277 | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.64 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 4 | Pre-COVID | 112,432 | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.64 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 5 | Pre-COVID | 90,743 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 6 | Pre-COVID | 72,703 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.67 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 7 | Pre-COVID | 69,297 | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.68 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 8 | Pre-COVID | 56,392 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.68 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 3 | COVID | 75,559 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.63 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 4 | COVID | 110,259 | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.61 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 5 | COVID | 95,907 | 0.46 | 0.54 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.07 |
| 6 | COVID | 81,967 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 7 | COVID | 75,089 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.07 |
| 8 | COVID | 69,321 | 0.47 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |

Note. AIAN= American Indian or Alaska Native. The bottom decile group were the students with percentile rank less than or equal to $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile in fall 2019 (fall 2016 for pre-COVID), while the top decile group had a percentile rank greater than or equal to $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile in fall $2016 / 2019$.

Table 2b. Characteristics for students in longitudinal analyses in math

| Gr. | Sample | N | Male | Female | White | Black | Hispanic | Asian | AIAN | MultiRacial | Not Specified |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bottom Decile Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Pre-COVID | 62,781 | 0.59 | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.26 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| 4 | Pre-COVID | 71,869 | 0.58 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 0.27 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.06 |
| 5 | Pre-COVID | 74,003 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 0.32 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 6 | Pre-COVID | 64,854 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 7 | Pre-COVID | 71,163 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 8 | Pre-COVID | 71,404 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 3 | COVID | 71,144 | 0.57 | 0.43 | 0.28 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 4 | COVID | 87,174 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 0.31 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 5 | COVID | 88,423 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| 6 | COVID | 78,199 | 0.54 | 0.46 | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| 7 | COVID | 91,367 | 0.53 | 0.47 | 0.28 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| 8 | COVID | 88,160 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Top Decile Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | Pre-COVID | 59,514 | 0.56 | 0.44 | 0.67 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 4 | Pre-COVID | 92,465 | 0.59 | 0.41 | 0.65 | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 5 | Pre-COVID | 78,752 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.66 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 6 | Pre-COVID | 78,951 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.66 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 7 | Pre-COVID | 86,526 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.66 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 |
| 8 | Pre-COVID | 69,398 | 0.59 | 0.41 | 0.67 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.07 |
| 3 | COVID | 66,949 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.64 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 4 | COVID | 99,450 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.62 | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 5 | COVID | 85,849 | 0.62 | 0.38 | 0.63 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.01 | 0.05 | 0.06 |
| 6 | COVID | 77,285 | 0.63 | 0.37 | 0.62 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 7 | COVID | 92,885 | 0.62 | 0.38 | 0.63 | 0.04 | 0.09 | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.06 |
| 8 | COVID | 73,344 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.62 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.07 |

Note. AIAN = American Indian or Alaska Native. The bottom decile group were the students with percentile rank less than or equal to $10^{\text {th }}$ percentile in fall 2019 (fall 2016 for pre-COVID), while the top decile group had a percentile rank greater than or equal to $90^{\text {th }}$ percentile in fall $2016 / 2019$.

Table 3. Test score characteristics for Pre-COVID \& COVID samples of MAP Growth test takers

| Subject | Grade | Pre-COVID (Spring 2019) |  |  |  | COVID (Spring 2022) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | N |  |  | SD | N | N |  | SD |
|  |  | N | Schools | M |  |  | Schools | M |  |
| Reading | 3 | 693,352 | 10,316 | 197.98 | 16.25 | 564,945 | 9,292 | 195.99 | 17.57 |
| Reading | 4 | 688,839 | 10,093 | 205.34 | 15.91 | 569,115 | 9,385 | 203.88 | 16.94 |
| Reading | 5 | 696,371 | 9,754 | 211.02 | 15.68 | 574,949 | 9,219 | 209.43 | 16.53 |
| Reading | 6 | 646,498 | 6,821 | 214.99 | 15.68 | 538,938 | 7,529 | 213.49 | 16.22 |
| Reading | 7 | 592,696 | 5,607 | 218.52 | 15.93 | 533,588 | 6,486 | 216.72 | 16.57 |
| Reading | 8 | 546,687 | 5,250 | 221.65 | 16.11 | 523,919 | 5,820 | 219.86 | 16.76 |
| Math | 3 | 690,713 | 10,303 | 201.93 | 14.35 | 563,361 | 9,254 | 199.27 | 15.80 |
| Math | 4 | 689,555 | 10,097 | 211.72 | 15.72 | 567,643 | 9,396 | 208.62 | 17.07 |
| Math | 5 | 697,404 | 9,777 | 219.76 | 17.52 | 575,676 | 9,248 | 215.94 | 18.51 |
| Math | 6 | 649,248 | 6,857 | 222.78 | 17.26 | 538,275 | 7,513 | 219.61 | 17.58 |
| Math | 7 | 593,119 | 5,637 | 227.81 | 18.57 | 529,077 | 6,458 | 224.09 | 18.61 |
| Math | 8 | 523,311 | 5,259 | 231.67 | 19.75 | 481,290 | 5,781 | 227.44 | 19.42 |

Note. $\mathrm{N}=$ number of students, $\mathrm{M}=$ mean, $\mathrm{SD}=$ standard deviation.

Table 4. Changes in test score variability during the COVID-19 pandemic

| Subject | Grade | Standard Deviation (SD) |  |  | Intraclass Correlation (ICC) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | pre-COVID | COVID | Ratio | pre-COVID | COVID | Difference |
| Reading | 3 | 16.25 | 17.57 | 1.08 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0.01 |
| Reading | 4 | 15.91 | 16.94 | 1.06 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0.01 |
| Reading | 5 | 15.68 | 16.53 | 1.05 | 0.2 | 0.21 | 0.01 |
| Reading | 6 | 15.68 | 16.22 | 1.03 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0 |
| Reading | 7 | 15.93 | 16.57 | 1.04 | 0.22 | 0.2 | -0.02 |
| Reading | 8 | 16.11 | 16.76 | 1.04 | 0.21 | 0.19 | -0.02 |
| Math | 3 | 14.35 | 15.8 | 1.1 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.03 |
| Math | 4 | 15.72 | 17.07 | 1.09 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.04 |
| Math | 5 | 17.52 | 18.51 | 1.06 | 0.24 | 0.27 | 0.03 |
| Math | 6 | 17.26 | 17.58 | 1.02 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.01 |
| Math | 7 | 18.57 | 18.61 | 1 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0 |
| Math | 8 | 19.75 | 19.42 | 0.98 | 0.26 | 0.24 | -0.01 |

Note. ICC=\% of variability in test scores that is between schools, pre-COVID=spring 2019; COVID=spring 2022.

Table 5. Student reading RIT score means/SDs by cohort, sample, and decile group

| Grades | Term | Decile | Pre-COVID Sample |  | COVID Sample |  | Standardized difference between samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | M (SD) | N | M (SD) |  |
| 1-3 | 2S | <10 | 40237 | 170.16 (14.45) | 33767 | 166.15 (15.63) | -0.24 |
| 1-3 | 35 | <10 | 34270 | 181.32 (16.46) | 34050 | 176.45 (16.52) | -0.29 |
| 1-3 | 2S | >90 | 54756 | 206.05 ( 9.28) | 51114 | 204.53 (10.33) | -0.09 |
| 1-3 | 35 | >90 | 47460 | 215.89 ( 8.85) | 49488 | 215.05 ( 9.58) | -0.05 |
| 2-4 | 35 | <10 | 59993 | 178.10 (15.32) | 50482 | 174.16 (15.87) | -0.24 |
| 2-4 | 4S | <10 | 53279 | 186.42 (16.07) | 48438 | 182.76 (16.63) | -0.22 |
| 2-4 | 3S | $>90$ | 84486 | 215.96 ( 8.33) | 71942 | 215.06 ( 9.04) | -0.05 |
| 2-4 | 4S | >90 | 77332 | 222.14 (8.31) | 69913 | 221.94 ( 8.64) | -0.01 |
| 3-5 | 4S | <10 | 64473 | 182.78 (15.27) | 56292 | 178.84 (15.62) | -0.24 |
| 3-5 | 5S | <10 | 57944 | 189.87 (15.74) | 55566 | 186.28 (16.04) | -0.22 |
| 3-5 | 4S | >90 | 69059 | 224.45 (7.83) | 61632 | 223.33 (8.26) | -0.07 |
| 3-5 | 5S | $>90$ | 62595 | 229.16 ( 7.79) | 60542 | 228.54 (8.20) | -0.04 |
| 4-6 | 5S | <10 | 57323 | 187.51 (15.33) | 50241 | 183.01 (15.67) | -0.28 |
| 4-6 | 6S | <10 | 48676 | 192.78 (14.88) | 46508 | 189.40 (13.90) | -0.21 |
| 4-6 | 5S | >90 | 55187 | 231.10 ( 7.38) | 53147 | 229.51 ( 7.74) | -0.10 |
| 4-6 | 65 | >90 | 47417 | 234.80 (8.12) | 49080 | 233.85 (8.26) | -0.06 |
| 5-7 | 6 S | <10 | 52556 | 191.37 (15.22) | 45505 | 187.39 (14.53) | -0.25 |
| 5-7 | 7S | <10 | 45992 | 195.93 (14.95) | 44977 | 191.71 (14.34) | -0.26 |
| 5-7 | 6S | >90 | 49245 | 235.54 ( 7.68) | 45309 | 234.73 (8.09) | -0.05 |
| 5-7 | 7S | >90 | 43821 | 238.93 (8.33) | 44215 | 238.32 (8.26) | -0.04 |
| 6-8 | 7S | <10 | 48536 | 194.46 (15.44) | 43728 | 190.08 (15.03) | -0.26 |
| 6-8 | 85 | <10 | 41965 | 198.81 (15.23) | 43943 | 194.42 (14.83) | -0.26 |
| 6-8 | 7S | >90 | 39146 | 240.48 ( 7.76) | 41347 | 239.32 ( 8.13) | -0.07 |
| 6-8 | 8S | $>90$ | 34081 | 243.30 ( 8.08) | 40243 | 242.29 ( 8.22) | -0.06 |

Note. $N=$ number of students, $M=$ mean, $S D=$ standard deviation, $2 S=s p r i n g$ of $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade.

Table 6. Student math RIT score means/SDs by cohort, sample, and decile group

| Grades | Term | Decile | Pre-COVID Sample |  | COVID Sample |  | Standardized difference between samples |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | M (SD) | N | M (SD) |  |
| 1-3 | 2 S | <10 | 48182 | 174.61 (13.73) | 41650 | 169.22 (15.42) | -0.38 |
| 1-3 | 35 | <10 | 39972 | 185.73 (15.14) | 41017 | 179.03 (16.15) | -0.45 |
| 1-3 | 2S | >90 | 48759 | 207.84 ( 8.48) | 46478 | 204.94 ( 9.44) | -0.20 |
| 1-3 | 35 | >90 | 41980 | 219.52 ( 9.49) | 44339 | 217.88 (9.67) | -0.11 |
| 2-4 | 35 | <10 | 50112 | 183.71 (14.37) | 50702 | 177.38 (15.27) | -0.43 |
| 2-4 | 4S | <10 | 44402 | 192.01 (15.51) | 48712 | 185.47 (15.96) | -0.40 |
| 2-4 | 3 S | >90 | 68797 | 219.76 ( 9.10) | 64645 | 216.40 ( 9.43) | -0.23 |
| 2-4 | 4S | $>90$ | 63103 | 231.42 (10.30) | 63766 | 229.14 (10.44) | -0.14 |
| 3-5 | 4S | <10 | 50684 | 189.02 (14.50) | 50740 | 182.39 (14.43) | -0.41 |
| 3-5 | 5S | <10 | 45444 | 195.38 (15.33) | 49507 | 188.63 (14.72) | -0.38 |
| 3-5 | 4S | >90 | 59506 | 233.56 ( 9.99) | 57159 | 229.24 (10.21) | -0.27 |
| 3-5 | 5S | >90 | 54578 | 243.74 (10.81) | 55818 | 240.97 (11.49) | -0.15 |
| 4-6 | 5S | <10 | 45156 | 192.61 (14.39) | 44667 | 186.08 (13.85) | -0.37 |
| 4-6 | 6 S | <10 | 38238 | 196.09 (13.88) | 40871 | 191.87 (12.11) | -0.24 |
| 4-6 | 5S | $>90$ | 59507 | 244.97 (10.07) | 52674 | 241.13 (10.83) | -0.22 |
| 4-6 | 6S | >90 | 51585 | 246.62 (9.71) | 47785 | 244.93 (10.45) | -0.10 |
| 5-7 | 6S | <10 | 46608 | 195.04 (13.75) | 45840 | 191.80 (12.59) | -0.19 |
| 5-7 | 7S | <10 | 40826 | 199.56 (13.87) | 45417 | 195.38 (12.28) | -0.22 |
| 5-7 | 6S | >90 | 61214 | 247.07 ( 9.12) | 56909 | 243.74 ( 9.91) | -0.19 |
| 5-7 | 7S | $>90$ | 52843 | 253.82 (10.41) | 51947 | 250.85 (10.81) | -0.16 |
| 6-8 | 7S | <10 | 46074 | 198.49 (14.33) | 43502 | 194.76 (12.92) | -0.20 |
| 6-8 | 85 | <10 | 39566 | 202.74 (14.31) | 43162 | 198.41 (12.60) | -0.22 |
| 6-8 | 7S | >90 | 46130 | 256.19 ( 9.61) | 39422 | 253.30 (10.40) | -0.15 |
| 6-8 | 85 | >90 | 36531 | 262.71 (10.59) | 31257 | 259.96 (11.38) | -0.14 |

Note. $\mathrm{N}=$ number of students, $\mathrm{M}=$ mean, $\mathrm{SD}=$ standard deviation, $2 \mathrm{~S}=$ spring of $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade.

Table 7. Average fall-spring growth rates during the 2020-21 and 2021-22 school year relative to preCOVID averages gains by decile group

| Subject | Grade | Year | Decile | Pre-COVID Sample |  | COVID Sample |  | Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | N | Mean Gain | N | Mean Gain |  |
| Reading | 3 | 2020-21 | <10 | 38,424 | 13.34 | 28,168 | 7.59 | 0.57 |
| Reading | 3 | 2021-22 | <10 | 32,335 | 11.02 | 32,049 | 10.71 | 0.97 |
| Reading | 4 | 2020-21 | <10 | 56,491 | 12.64 | 43,108 | 7.85 | 0.62 |
| Reading | 4 | 2021-22 | <10 | 49,552 | 9.43 | 45,399 | 9.05 | 0.96 |
| Reading | 5 | 2020-21 | <10 | 61,456 | 10.67 | 47,245 | 6.18 | 0.58 |
| Reading | 5 | 2021-22 | <10 | 54,055 | 8.28 | 51,867 | 7.54 | 0.91 |
| Reading | 6 | 2020-21 | <10 | 54,700 | 9.01 | 42,128 | 4.84 | 0.54 |
| Reading | 6 | 2021-22 | <10 | 44,646 | 6.38 | 42,036 | 5.88 | 0.92 |
| Reading | 7 | 2020-21 | <10 | 49,547 | 7.07 | 35,427 | 2.98 | 0.42 |
| Reading | 7 | 2021-22 | <10 | 41,285 | 5.12 | 40,452 | 4.15 | 0.81 |
| Reading | 8 | 2020-21 | <10 | 45,284 | 5.68 | 34,267 | 2.02 | 0.35 |
| Reading | 8 | 2021-22 | <10 | 37,703 | 4.09 | 39,893 | 3.45 | 0.84 |
| Reading | 3 | 2020-21 | >90 | 53,032 | 11.09 | 45,829 | 9.56 | 0.86 |
| Reading | 3 | 2021-22 | >90 | 45,688 | 8.56 | 47,387 | 8.84 | 1.03 |
| Reading | 4 | 2020-21 | >90 | 80,751 | 8.37 | 65,535 | 6.85 | 0.82 |
| Reading | 4 | 2021-22 | >90 | 73,193 | 6.12 | 66,809 | 6.29 | 1.03 |
| Reading | 5 | 2020-21 | >90 | 67,207 | 6.29 | 55,343 | 5.02 | 0.80 |
| Reading | 5 | 2021-22 | >90 | 60,151 | 4.72 | 57,755 | 4.85 | 1.03 |
| Reading | 6 | 2020-21 | >90 | 53,676 | 4.88 | 47,656 | 3.78 | 0.77 |
| Reading | 6 | 2021-22 | >90 | 45,404 | 3.81 | 45,605 | 3.46 | 0.91 |
| Reading | 7 | 2020-21 | >90 | 47,331 | 4.10 | 39,532 | 2.83 | 0.69 |
| Reading | 7 | 2021-22 | >90 | 41,465 | 3.25 | 41,748 | 3.13 | 0.96 |
| Reading | 8 | 2020-21 | >90 | 37,468 | 3.64 | 35,894 | 1.93 | 0.53 |
| Reading | 8 | 2021-22 | >90 | 32,172 | 2.47 | 38,170 | 2.66 | 1.08 |
| Math | 3 | 2020-21 | <10 | 45,896 | 14.60 | 34,304 | 8.84 | 0.61 |
| Math | 3 | 2021-22 | <10 | 37,547 | 13.05 | 38,482 | 12.70 | 0.97 |
| Math | 4 | 2020-21 | <10 | 47,048 | 13.19 | 42,818 | 8.35 | 0.63 |
| Math | 4 | 2021-22 | <10 | 41,523 | 10.57 | 45,642 | 10.16 | 0.96 |
| Math | 5 | 2020-21 | <10 | 48,222 | 10.44 | 42,177 | 6.06 | 0.58 |
| Math | 5 | 2021-22 | <10 | 42,769 | 8.59 | 46,116 | 7.93 | 0.92 |
| Math | 6 | 2020-21 | <10 | 43,004 | 8.41 | 36,984 | 4.39 | 0.52 |
| Math | 6 | 2021-22 | <10 | 35,663 | 6.39 | 36,762 | 6.53 | 1.02 |
| Math | 7 | 2020-21 | <10 | 44,006 | 6.23 | 35,460 | 4.08 | 0.65 |
| Math | 7 | 2021-22 | <10 | 37,316 | 5.17 | 40,794 | 4.78 | 0.92 |
| Math | 8 | 2020-21 | <10 | 42,797 | 5.43 | 33,769 | 3.04 | 0.56 |
| Math | 8 | 2021-22 | <10 | 36,178 | 4.40 | 38,883 | 4.35 | 0.99 |
| Math | 3 | 2020-21 | >90 | 47,496 | 13.25 | 41,081 | 12.29 | 0.93 |
| Math | 3 | 2021-22 | >90 | 40,212 | 13.24 | 42,506 | 13.53 | 1.02 |
| Math | 4 | 2020-21 | >90 | 65,494 | 13.10 | 57,637 | 12.42 | 0.95 |
| Math | 4 | 2021-22 | >90 | 59,542 | 12.67 | 60,968 | 13.06 | 1.03 |
| Math | 5 | 2020-21 | >90 | 57,772 | 13.25 | 51,026 | 11.92 | 0.90 |
| Math | 5 | 2021-22 | >90 | 52,432 | 11.93 | 53,578 | 12.43 | 1.04 |
| Math | 6 | 2020-21 | >90 | 57,856 | 12.53 | 46,540 | 10.42 | 0.83 |
| Math | 6 | 2021-22 | >90 | 49,557 | 9.50 | 44,547 | 10.08 | 1.06 |


| Math | 7 | $2020-21$ | $>90$ | 58,858 | 9.73 | 50,061 | 9.59 | 0.99 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Math | 7 | $2021-22$ | $>90$ | 49,866 | 7.69 | 49,229 | 8.23 | 1.07 |
| Math | 8 | $2020-21$ | $>90$ | 43,883 | 7.89 | 34,183 | 7.65 | 0.97 |
| Math | 8 | $2021-22$ | $>90$ | 33,983 | 7.00 | 29,645 | 7.61 | 1.09 |

Note. The pre-COVID sample columns show gains from the 2017-18 and 2018-19 school years as a reference to the COVID sample's 2020-21 and 2021-22 fall-spring gains. Gains are calculated as spring RIT minus fall RIT for each grade/subject/year.

Figure A1. Comparison of within-school variability (school SDs) in spring 2019 (pre-COVID) and spring 2022 (COVID)










Figure A2. Illustration of percentile ranks in spring 2019 and spring 2022 for reading grade 3 test scores
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Figure A3. Average MAP Growth achievement across four school years for all cohorts and subjects.
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Figure A4. Average MAP Growth achievement across three school years for students in bottom and top deciles







## Math - grade 1-3 cohort



Math - grade 2-4 cohort



Math - grade 4-6 cohort


## Math - grade 5-7 cohort



Math - grade 6-8 cohort
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This parallels analyses included in our prior work (Kuhfeld \& Lewis, 2022a) examining overall achievement patterns across the pandemic-affected school years except that here we examine a threeyear panel instead of a four-year panel. We did not include the 2018-19 school year so that starting achievement status was as proximal as possible to the start of the pandemic.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For these analyses, we exclude students with fall 2019 percentile ranks between the $11^{\text {th }}$ and $89^{\text {th }}$ percentile.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Note that we use both the term "achievement gains" and "growth" to refer to changes in students' test scores between the fall and spring, but the estimate underlying each term is calculated differently. Achievement gains are calculated by comparing the mean RIT score in the fall with the mean RIT score in the spring, while growth is estimated by averaging each student's fall-spring difference score. Given the number of students tested in each term varies slightly, these estimates will be similar but not equivalent.

