A Study of the Alignment of the NWEA RIT Scale with the Montana Assessment System

Michael P. Dahlin, Ph.D.

March, 2007



Copyright © 2007 Northwest Evaluation Association

All rights reserved. No part of this document may be reproduced or utilized in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system, without written permission from NWEA.



Northwest Evaluation Association 5885 SW Meadows Road, Suite 200 Lake Oswego, OR 97035-3526

www.nwea.org Tel 503-624-1951 Fax 503-639-7873

A Study of the Alignment of the NWEA RIT Scale with the Montana Assessment System

Michael P. Dahlin, Ph.D. – Northwest Evaluation Association

March, 2007

Recently, NWEA completed a project to connect the scale of the tests used for Montana mathematics and reading assessments with NWEA's RIT scale. Information from the Montana assessments was used in a study to establish performance-level scores on the RIT scale that would indicate a good chance of success on these tests.

To perform the analysis, we linked aggregate state test results with NWEA test results for all schools whose NWEA test count for a grade and subject was between 95% and 105% of the count tested on the state assessment. This provided assurance that only schools that had tested a very similar population on both tests were included.

The Montana state test is administered in spring. For the spring season, an equipercentile method was used to estimate the RIT score equivalent to each state performance level. For spring, we determined the percentage of the population within the selected study group that performed at each level on the state test and found the equivalent percentile ranges within the NWEA dataset to estimate the cut scores. For example, if 40% of the study group population in grade 3 mathematics performed below the proficient level on the state test, we would find the RIT score that would be equivalent to the 40^{th} percentile for the study population (this would not be the same as the 40^{th} percentile in the NWEA norms). This RIT score would be the estimated point on the NWEA RIT scale that would be equivalent to the minimum score for proficiency on the state test.

More complete documentation about this method can be found on our website.

Tables 1 through 4 show the best estimate of the RIT equivalent to each Montana performance level for same-season (spring) and prior-season (fall) RIT scores. These tables may be used to identify students who may need additional help to perform well on these tests.

Tables 5 through 8 show the proportion of students achieving various RIT score ranges whom we estimate would achieve a proficient score on the state assessment. These tables can be used to assist in identifying students who are not likely to pass these assessments, thereby increasing the probability that intervention strategies will be planned and implemented.

Table 1 – Recommended same-season (spring) RIT cut scores for Montana performance levels - Reading

Grade	Novice		Nearing Proficient		Proficient		Advanced	
	Cut score	Cut score	Percentile	Cut score	Percentile	Cut score	Percentile	
3	<178	178	10	190	26	205	67	
4	<186	186	10	197	25	214	74	
5	<191	191	9	204	27	219	73	
6	<198	198	12	209	30	225	76	
7	<202	202	13	213	32	230	80	
8	<208	208	16	218	36	233	80	

Percentile column shows the associated NWEA norm group percentile score.

Table 2 – Recommended same-season (spring) RIT cut scores for Montana performance levels – Mathematics

Grade	Novice	Nearir Profici		Profici	ent	Advan	ced
	Cut score	Cut score	Percentile	Cut score	Percentile	Cut score	Percentile
3	<191	191	18	200	43	212	82
4	<200	200	21	208	43	220	77
5	<206	206	20	215	40	228	75
6	<212	212	24	222	45	236	78
7	<212	212	18	226	43	242	78
8	<224	224	29	239	60	252	85

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Table 3-Recommended prior-season (fall) RIT cut scores for Montana performance levels \\ -Reading \\ \end{tabular}$

Grade	Novice		Nearing Proficient		Proficient		Advanced	
	Cut score	Cut score	Percentile	Cut score	Percentile	Cut score	Percentile	
3	<170	170	10	182	27	198	68	
4	<179	179	10	191	25	209	75	
5	<186	186	9	199	27	215	74	
6	<194	194	12	206	32	221	76	
7	<199	199	13	210	32	227	81	
8	<205	205	16	215	36	230	80	

Percentile column shows the associated NWEA norm group percentile score.

Table 4 – Recommended prior-season (fall) RIT cut scores for Montana performance levels – Mathematics

Grade	Novice	Nearir Profici		Profici	ent	Advan	ced
	Cut score	Cut score	Percentile	Cut score	Percentile	Cut score	Percentile
3	<182	182	20	191	44	203	83
4	<194	194	22	202	46	211	77
5	<201	201	21	208	40	220	75
6	<208	208	25	217	47	229	79
7	<209	209	18	222	44	236	79
8	<221	221	30	234	60	246	86

Table 5 – Proportion of students passing the Montana state reading assessment based on same-season (spring) reading RIT range

	Percen	t in this	range	who p	ass	
RIT Range	3	4	5	6	7	8
160	6%	3%	1%	1%	1%	0%
165	9%	5%	2%	1%	1%	1%
170	14%	8%	4%	2%	2%	1%
175	22%	12%	6%	4%	3%	2%
180	31%	18%	10%	6%	4%	3%
185	43%	27%	16%	10%	7%	4%
190	55%	38%	23%	16%	11%	7%
195	67%	50%	33%	23%	17%	11%
200	77%	62%	45%	33%	25%	17%
205	84%	73%	57%	45%	36%	25%
210	90%	82%	69%	57%	48%	36%
215	94%	88%	78%	69%	60%	48%
220	96%	92%	86%	78%	71%	60%
225	98%	95%	91%	86%	80%	71%
230	99%	97%	94%	91%	87%	80%
235	99%	98%	96%	94%	92%	87%
240	99%	99%	98%	96%	95%	92%
245	100%	99%	99%	98%	97%	95%

Table 6 – Proportion of students passing the Montana state mathematics assessment based on same-season (spring) mathematics RIT range

	Percen	t in this i	ange w	ho pass	5	
RIT Range	3	4	5	6	7	8
170	6%	3%	1%	1%	0%	0%
175	9%	4%	2%	1%	1%	0%
180	14%	7%	4%	2%	1%	0%
185	22%	11%	6%	3%	2%	1%
190	31%	17%	9%	5%	3%	1%
195	43%	25%	14%	8%	5%	1%
200	55%	36%	22%	12%	8%	2%
205	67%	48%	31%	18%	13%	4%
210	77%	60%	43%	27%	20%	6%
215	84%	71%	55%	38%	29%	10%
220	90%	80%	67%	50%	40%	16%
225	94%	87%	77%	62%	52%	23%
230	96%	92%	84%	73%	64%	33%
235	98%	95%	90%	82%	75%	45%
240	99%	97%	94%	88%	83%	57%
245	99%	98%	96%	92%	89%	69%
250	99%	99%	98%	95%	93%	78%
255	100%	99%	99%	97%	96%	86%
260	100%	100%	99%	98%	97%	91%
265	100%	100%	99%	99%	98%	94%
270	100%	100%	100%	99%	99%	96%

Table 7 – Proportion of students passing the Montana state reading assessment based on prior-season (fall) reading RIT range

	Percent	in this	range	who pa	ss	
RIT Range	3	4	5	6	7	8
150	5%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%
155	8%	3%	1%	1%	1%	0%
160	12%	5%	2%	1%	1%	1%
165	18%	8%	4%	2%	1%	1%
170	27%	13%	6%	3%	2%	1%
1 <i>7</i> 5	38%	20%	10%	5%	4%	2%
180	50%	29%	16%	8%	6%	4%
185	62%	40%	23%	13%	9%	6%
190	73%	52%	33%	20%	14%	9%
195	82%	64%	45%	29%	22%	14%
200	88%	75%	57%	40%	31%	22%
205	92%	83%	69%	52%	43%	31%
210	95%	89%	78%	64%	55%	43%
215	97%	93%	86%	75%	67%	55%
220	98%	96%	91%	83%	77%	67%
225	99%	97%	94%	89%	84%	77%
230	99%	98%	96%	93%	90%	84%
235	100%	99%	98%	96%	94%	90%
240	100%	99%	99%	97%	96%	94%
245	100%	100%	99%	98%	98%	96%

Table 8 – Proportion of students passing the Montana state mathematics assessment based on prior-season (fall) mathematics RIT range

	Percent	in this r	ange w	ho pass	;	
RIT Range	3	4	5	6	7	8
160	5%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%
165	8%	3%	2%	1%	0%	0%
170	13%	5%	3%	1%	1%	0%
175	20%	8%	4%	2%	1%	0%
180	29%	12%	7%	3%	2%	1%
185	40%	18%	11%	5%	3%	1%
190	52%	27%	17%	8%	5%	1%
195	64%	38%	25%	12%	8%	2%
200	75%	50%	36%	18%	12%	4%
205	83%	62%	48%	27%	18%	6%
210	89%	73%	60%	38%	27%	10%
215	93%	82%	71%	50%	38%	16%
220	96%	88%	80%	62%	50%	23%
225	97%	92%	87%	73%	62%	33%
230	98%	95%	92%	82%	73%	45%
235	99%	97%	95%	88%	82%	57%
240	99%	98%	97%	92%	88%	69%
245	100%	99%	98%	95%	92%	78%
250	100%	99%	99%	97%	95%	86%
255	100%	100%	99%	98%	97%	91%
260	100%	100%	100%	99%	98%	94%
265	100%	100%	100%	99%	99%	96%